

Notes on a proof of Bonet, Engliš and Taskinen

Sven-Ake Wegner

February 19, 2010

We give a proof of the result [3, Theorem 5] of Bonet, Engliš, Taskinen filling in several details and correcting some flaws.

1 Preliminaries

Let in the sequel $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of all holomorphic functions on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. A *weight* is a strictly positive and continuous function on $\mathbb{D}$. For a weight v we consider the space

$$Hv_0(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) ; v|f| \text{ vanishes at } \infty \text{ on } \mathbb{D}\}$$

which is a Banach space w.r.t. the norm $\|f\|_v := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)|f(z)|$ for $f \in H(v)_0(\mathbb{D})$. In the sequel we will use the following well-known fact; for the sake of completeness we give a proof.

Remark 1.1. Let v be a radial weight which is decreasing on $[0, 1[$. Assume that $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, 1[$ is a sequence with $r_n \nearrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $g \in Hv_0(\mathbb{D})$ and put $g_n(z) := g(r_n z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $g_n \rightarrow g$ holds in $Hv_0(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. We note first that $g_n \in Hv_0(\mathbb{D})$ holds. Moreover, $g_n \rightarrow g$ holds w.r.t. the compact open topology: For $K \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ compact we select $0 < R < 1$ such that $K \subseteq \overline{B}_R(0)$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in K} |g(z) - g(r_n z)| &\leq \sup_{z \in K} \max_{\xi \in [r_n z, z]} |g'(\xi)| |z - r_n z| \\ &\leq (1 - r_n) \sup_{z \in K} \max_{\xi \in [r_n z, z]} |g'(\xi)| \\ &\leq (1 - r_n) \sup_{z \in \overline{B}_R(0)} |g'(z)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: primary 46E10, secondary 46A13, 46M40.

which yields the desired co-convergence.

Let now $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $g \in Hv_0(\mathbb{D})$ there exists $0 < R_0 < 1$ such that $v(z)|g(z)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for each $|z| \geq R_0$. We select $0 < R_0 < R_1 < 1$. Then in particular $\sup_{|z| \geq R_1} v(z)|g(z)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ holds. By the above we may select N such that $\sup_{|z| \leq R_1} v(z)|g(z) - g(r_n z)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ holds for $n \geq N$. By increasing N we may assume that $r_n R_1 \geq R_0$ for $n \geq N$. Now we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)|g(z) - g(r_n z)| &\leq \sup_{|z| \leq R_1} v(z)|g(z) - g(r_n z)| + \sup_{|z| \geq R_1} v(z)|g(z) - g(r_n z)| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \sup_{|z| \geq R_1} v(z)|g(z)| + \sup_{|z| \geq R_1} v(z)|g(r_n z)| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \sup_{|\xi| \geq r_n R_1} v(\xi)|g(\xi)| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \sup_{|\xi| \geq R_0} v(\xi)|g(\xi)| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq N$. □

2 The result of Bonet, Engliš and Taskinen

Let from now on $\mathcal{V} = (v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of strictly positive and continuous functions (weights) on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $r_n := 1 - 2^{-2^n}$, $r_0 := 0$ and $I_n := [r_n, r_{n+1}]$.

Definition 2.1. We say that the sequence $\mathcal{V} = (v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies condition (LOG) if each weight in the sequence is radial and approaches monotonically 0 as $r \nearrow 1$ and there exist constants $0 < a < 1 < A$ such that the conditions

(LOG 1) $A \cdot v_k(r_{n+1}) \geq v_k(r_n)$ and

(LOG 2) $v_k(r_{n+1}) \leq a \cdot v_k(r_n)$

are satisfied for all n and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We consider the *weighted LB-space of holomorphic functions* $\mathcal{V}_0 H(\mathbb{D}) = \text{ind}_k H(v_k)_0(\mathbb{D})$ and its *projective hull* $H\overline{\mathcal{V}}_0(\mathbb{D}) = \text{proj}_{\overline{\mathcal{V}} \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}} H(\overline{\mathcal{V}})_0(\mathbb{D})$, where

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}} := \{ \overline{v} ; \overline{v} \text{ is a weight on } \mathbb{D} \text{ such that } \forall k \exists \alpha_k > 0 : \overline{v} \leq \inf_k \alpha_k v_k \}.$$

Projective hulls were introduced by Bierstedt, Meise, Summers in the seminal article [2] and are the starting point for the so-called *projective description problem*. For details we refer to the latter article and to the survey [1] of Bierstedt. At this point we only mention that in the terminology of [1, 2] *projective description holds* for the space $\mathcal{V}_0 H(\mathbb{D})$, if $\mathcal{V}_0 H(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H\overline{\mathcal{V}}_0(\mathbb{D})$ is a topological subspace.

Let us now state the result of Bonet, Engliš, Taskinen, which states that projective description holds if we assume the sequence $\mathcal{V}$ to satisfy condition (LOG).

Theorem 2.2. ([3, Theorem 5]) *If the weight system $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies condition (LOG), then $\mathcal{V}_0 H(\mathbb{D})$ is a topological subspace of $H\overline{V}_0(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. We put $D := \max(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a^k, \sup_{n > t+2} 2^{-n} A^{n-t} 2^{-2^{n-1}})$, where a and A are the constants of (LOG 1) and (LOG 2). Now put $C := 2A^2(D + A^2) + 4(A^2 + 2D)$.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$U_k := \{f \in H(v_k)_0(\mathbb{D}) ; \|f\|_{v_k} \leq 1\}.$$

Let a 0-neighborhood $B = \Gamma(\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} b_k U_k)$ in $\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbb{D})$ be given, where Γ stands for the absolutely convex hull and b_k is a positive constant for every k . Let us define the decreasing weight

$$\overline{v} := \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k^{-1} v_k(z),$$

with $a_k < 2^{-(k+2)} C^{-1} b_k$. Then $\overline{v}$ is radial, continuous, non-increasing and the infimum is a minimum on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, see Bierstedt, Meise, Summers [2]. Assuming that $f \in \mathcal{V}_0 H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies $\|f\|_{\overline{v}} \leq 1$, we show that $f \in \overline{B}$, where the closure is taken in $\mathcal{V}_0 H(\mathbb{D})$. We define f_{r_n} by $f_{r_n}(z) = f(r_n z)$. By 1.1 it is enough to show that $f_{r_n} \in B$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\overline{v}$ is nonincreasing we get

$$\inf_{|z| \in I_n} \overline{v}(z) = \overline{v}(r_{n+1}) \geq \overline{v}(r_{n+2}) = \inf_{|z| \in I_{n+1}} \overline{v}(z) \stackrel{(\text{LOG } 1)}{\geq} A^{-2} \overline{v}(r_n). \quad (1)$$

For every n we pick $k(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\overline{v}(r_n) = a_{k(n)}^{-1} v_{k(n)}(r_n) = a_{k(n)}^{-1} \sup_{|z| \in I_n} v_{k(n)}(z). \quad (2)$$

We fix $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$N_m := \{n \in \mathbb{N} ; n \leq \nu \text{ and } k(n) = m\}.$$

Hence, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} ; n \leq \nu\}$ is a disjoint union of the sets N_m ; some of them may be empty sets. For each $n \geq 1$ we put $g_n(z) := f(r_{n+1}z) - f(r_n z)$ and $g_0(z) := f(0)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$h_m := \sum_{n \in N_m} g_n$$

if $N_m \neq \emptyset$ and $h_m = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_0 + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} h_m)(z) &= g_0(z) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in N_m} (f(r_{n+1}z) - f(r_n z)) \\ &= f(0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} (f(r_{n+1}z) - f(r_n z)) \\ &= f(0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} f(r_{n+1}z) - \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} f(r_n z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} f(r_n z) + f(r_{\nu+1} z) - \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} f(r_n z) - f(0) \\
&= f(r_{\nu+1} z).
\end{aligned}$$

The constant function g_0 belongs to $H(v_{k(0)})_0(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$|g_0(z)| = |f(0)| \leq a_{k(0)} v_{k(0)}(0)^{-1}$$

holds by (2), hence $g_0 \in 2^{-2} 2^{-k(0)} b_{k(0)} U_{k(0)}$. The main part of the proof is to show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. ([3, Lemma 2]) In the notation above $h_m \in 2^{-(m+2)} b_m U_m$ holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The lemma finishes the proof of 2.2: Since $h_m \in 2^{-(m+2)} b_m U_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{r_\nu} &= g_0 + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} h_m \in 2^{-2} 2^{-k(0)} b_{k(0)} U_{k(0)} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-m} b_m U_m \\
&\subseteq 2^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-m} b_m U_m \\
&\subseteq \Gamma(\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} b_m U_m) \\
&= B. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (of Lemma 2.3) We fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $n \in N_m$ and estimate $|g_n(z)|$ for different z .

1. Assume first $|z| \geq r_{n-1}$ (where we put $r_{n-1} := r_0$ for $n = 0$).
 - a. Let $n \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_n z| &= |r_n| |z| \geq |r_n| r_{n-1} = (1 - 2^{-2^n})(1 - 2^{-2^{n-1}}) \\
&= 1 - 2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2^{-2^n} + 2^{-2^n} \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} \\
&\geq 1 - 2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2^{-2^n} \\
&\geq 1 - 2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2^{-2^{n-1}} \\
&= 1 - 2 \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} \\
&\geq 1 - 2^{-2^{n-2}} \\
&= r_{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r_n \leq r_{n+1}$ and $|z| \leq 1$ we get

$$r_{n-2} \leq |r_n z| \leq |r_{n+1} z| \leq r_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Since $\|f\|_{\bar{v}} \leq 1$, we have $|f(z)| \leq \bar{v}(z)^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Thus we get by the above, since $\bar{v}$ is non-increasing and by (1)

$$|g_n(z)| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |f(r_{n+1}) - f(r_n)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |f(r_n z)| + |f(r_{n+1} z)| \\
&\leq \bar{v}(r_n z)^{-1} + \bar{v}(r_{n+1} z)^{-1} \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{r_{n-2} \leq r \leq r_{n+1}} \bar{v}(r)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \sup_{r \in I_{n-2} \cup I_{n-1} \cup I_n} \bar{v}(r)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \max \left(\sup_{r \in I_{n-2}} \bar{v}(r)^{-1}, \sup_{r \in I_{n-1}} \bar{v}(r)^{-1}, \sup_{r \in I_n} \bar{v}(r)^{-1} \right) \\
&\leq 2\bar{v}(r_{n+1})^{-1} \\
&\leq 2A^2 \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \\
&= 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since $\bar{v}(r_n) = a_{k(n)}^{-1} v_{k(n)}(r_n)$ and $n \in N_m$ implies $i(n) = m$ (cf. (2)).

b. Let $n = 1$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_1(z)| &= |f(r_2 z) - f(r_1 z)| \leq |f(r_2 z)| + |f(r_1 z)| \\
&\leq \bar{v}(r_2 z)^{-1} + \bar{v}(r_1 z)^{-1} \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{r_0 \leq r \leq r_2} \bar{v}(r)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \sup_{r \in I_0 \cup I_1} u(r)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \max \left(\sup_{r \in I_0} u(r)^{-1}, \sup_{r \in I_1} \bar{v}(r)^{-1} \right) \\
&= 2\bar{v}(r_2)^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} 2A^2 \bar{v}(r_1)^{-1} \\
&= 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows as above.

c. Let $n = 0$. Then we have $|g_n(z)| = |f(0)|$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{v}} \leq 1$ which implies in particular $\bar{v}(0)|f(0)| \leq 1$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_n(z)| &= |f(0)| \leq \bar{v}(0)^{-1} = \bar{v}(r_0)^{-1} \\
&= a_{k(0)} v_{k(0)}(r_0)^{-1} \\
&\leq 2A^2 a_{k(n)} v_{k(n)}(r_n)^{-1} \\
&= 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

by (2), since $A > 1$ and by our selection $n \in N_m$.

To sum up the results of the cases a., b. and c., we have

$$|g_n(z)| \leq 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1}$$

for $|z| \geq r_{n-1}$ and $n \geq 0$.

2. Assume now that $n > t + 1$ and $|z| \in I_t$, i.e. $r_t \leq |z| \leq r_{t+1}$. Then we have $|g_n(z)| = |f(r_n z) - f(r_{n+1} z)|$ by definition. By the mean value theorem there exists ξ between $r_n z$ and $r_{n+1} z$ with

$$|f(r_n z) - f(r_{n+1} z)| = |f'(\xi)| |r_n z - r_{n+1} z| \leq |f'(\xi)| |r_n - r_{n+1}|.$$

Hence we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(z)| &\leq \sup_{|r_n z| \leq |\xi| \leq |r_{n+1} z|} |f'(\xi)| |r_n - r_{n+1}| \\ &\leq \sup_{r_n r_t \leq |\xi| \leq r_{n+1} r_{t+1}} |f'(\xi)| 2^{-2^n}, \end{aligned}$$

since $|r_{n+1} - r_n| = 1 - 2^{-2^{n+1}} - 1 + 2^{-2^n} \leq 2^{-2^n}$. $n > t + 1$, i.e. $t < n - 1$ implies $|\xi| \leq r_{n+1} r_{t+1} < r_{t+1} \leq r_n$ and we thus may use the Cauchy formula

$$|f'(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\eta|=r_n} \frac{|f(\eta)|}{|\eta - \xi|^2} d\eta \quad (3)$$

to estimate $|f'(\xi)|$. We have $|f(\eta)| \leq \bar{v}(\eta)^{-1} = \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1}$, since $\|f\|_{\bar{v}} \leq 1$ and $\bar{v}$ is radial. Now we estimate $\frac{1}{|\eta - \xi|^2}$.

- a. Let $n > t + 2$. That is, $n \geq t + 3$, i.e. $t \leq n - 3$. Hence $|\xi| \leq r_{n+1} r_{t+1} \leq r_{n+1} r_{n-2} \leq r_{n-2}$. Now, $|\eta - \xi| \geq ||\eta| - |\xi|| \geq |\eta| - |\xi| \geq r_n - r_{n-2} = 1 - 2^{-2^n} - 1 + 2^{-2^{n-2}} = 2^{-2^{n-2}} - 2^{-2^n}$. We claim that $2^{-2^{n-2}} - 2^{-2^n} \geq 2^{-1} 2^{-2^{n-2}}$ holds. We clearly have $2^n - 2^{n-2} \geq 1$, i.e. $2^n - 1 \geq 2^{n-2}$ and thus $2^{2^n-1} \geq 2^{2^{n-2}}$, therefore $2^{1-2^n} \leq 2^{-2^{n-2}}$ and thus $-2 \cdot 2^{2^n} = -2^{1-2^n} \geq -2^{-2^{n-2}}$. This implies $2 \cdot 2^{-2^{n-2}} - 2 \cdot 2^{-2^n} \geq 2 \cdot 2^{-2^{n-2}} - 2^{-2^{n-2}} = 2^{-2^{n-2}}$ which shows the claim. Thus we have $|\eta - \xi| \geq 2^{-1} 2^{-2^{n-2}}$ hence $\frac{1}{|\eta - \xi|} \leq 2 \cdot 2^{2^{n-2}}$ which yields $\frac{1}{|\eta - \xi|^2} \leq 2^2 \cdot 2^{2 \cdot 2^{n-2}} = 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}}$. Now we get

$$|f'(\xi)| \leq \frac{2\pi r_n}{2\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \leq 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1}$$

from (3) since $r_n \leq 1$ and can continue the estimate of $|g_n(z)|$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(z)| &\leq 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}} 2^{-2^n} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \\ &= 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}-2^n} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \\ &= 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}(1-2^1)} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \\ &= 4 \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \\ &= 4 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}} a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained as in the previous cases.

b. Let $n = t + 2$, that is $t = n - 2$ and hence $|\xi| \leq r_{n+1}r_{t+1} \leq r_{n+1}r_{n-1} \leq r_{n-1}$. Similar to the above we have $|\eta - \xi| \geq r_n - r_{n-1} = 1 - 2^{-2^n} - 1 + 2^{-2^{n-1}} = 2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2^{-2^n}$ and we claim that $2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2^{-2^n} \geq 2^{-1}2^{-2^{n-1}}$ holds. We clearly have $2^n - 2^{n-1} \geq 1$, i.e. $2^n - 1 \geq 2^{n-1}$ and thus $2^{2^n-1} \geq 2^{2^{n-1}}$, therefore $2^{1-2^n} \leq 2^{-2^{n-1}}$ and thus $-2 \cdot 2^{2^n} = -2^{1-2^n} \geq -2^{-2^{n-1}}$. This implies $2 \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2 \cdot 2^{-2^n} \geq 2 \cdot 2^{-2^{n-1}} - 2^{-2^{n-1}} = 2^{-2^{n-1}}$ which shows the claim. Similar to the above, we get $|\eta - \xi| \geq 2^{-1}2^{-2^{n-1}}$ and hence $\frac{1}{|\eta - \xi|} \leq 2 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}}$ which yields $\frac{1}{|\eta - \xi|^2} \leq 2^2 \cdot 2^{2 \cdot 2^{n-1}} = 4 \cdot 2^{2^n}$. We get

$$|f'(\xi)| \leq \frac{2\pi r_n}{2\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot 2^{2^n} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} \leq 4 \cdot 2^{2^n} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1}$$

from (3) since $r_n \leq 1$ and can also in this case continue the estimate of $|g_n(z)|$, i.e.

$$|g_n(z)| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{2^n} \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} 2^{-2^n} = 4 \bar{v}(r_n)^{-1} = 4a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1}$$

by the choice $n \in N_m$.

Now we use (LOG 1) $(n - t)$ -times to obtain

$$v_m(r_t) \leq A v_m(r_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq A^{n-t} v_m(r_{t+n-t}) = A^{n-t} v_m(r_n).$$

Since $|z| \geq r_t$ and because v_m is radial and decreasing for $r \nearrow 1$ we have $v_m(r_t) \geq v_m(z)$ and thus we get $v_m(z) \leq v_m(r_t) \leq A^{n-t} v_m(r_n)$, which finally yields $v_m(r_n)^{-1} \leq A^{n-t} v_m(z)^{-1}$. We continue the estimates in a. and b.

c. Let $n > t + 2$. From the latter and our estimate in a. we get $|g_n(z)| \leq 4a_m v_m(z)^{-1} A^{n-t} 2^{-2^{n-1}}$. By our selection of D we get $A^{n-t} 2^{-2^{n-1}} \leq D 2^{-n}$ and therefore $|g_n(z)| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-n} D a_m v_m(z)^{-1}$.

d. Let $n = t + 2$. Then the above yields $|g_n(z)| \leq 4a_m v_m(z)^{-1} A^2$.

To sum up the results of 2., we have

$$|g_n(z)| \leq 4a_m v_m(z)^{-1} \begin{cases} 2^{-n} D & \text{if } n > t + 2 \\ A^2 & \text{if } n = t + 2 \end{cases}$$

for $|z| \in I_t$ and n as indicated above.

To complete the proof, let now $z \in \mathbb{D}$ be arbitrary. We select $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|z| \in I_t = [r_t, r_{t+1}]$. Then

$$|h_m(z)| \stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \left| \sum_{n \in N_m} g_n(z) \right| \leq \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n \leq t+1}} |g_n(z)| + \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n > t+1}} |g_n(z)| =: G_m(z) + H_m(z).$$

(i) We consider $G_m(z)$, that is all occuring n satisfy $0 \leq n \leq t + 1$ and $n \in N_m$. Thus we have $n - 1 \leq t$, hence $|z| \geq r_t \geq r_{n-1}$ (remember that we defined $r_{-1} := r_0 = 0$). By the estimate obtained in 1. we therefore have

$$G_m(z) \stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n \leq t+1}} |g_n(z)| \leq \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n \leq t+1}} 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1}.$$

(LOG 2) implies $v_m(r_{n+1}) \leq av_m(r_n)$, i.e. $v_m(r_n)^{-1} \leq av_m(r_{n+1})^{-1}$ for arbitrary n . Iterating this estimate $t - n$ times for a fixed $n \leq t$ we get

$$u_m(r_n)^{-1} \leq au_m(r_{n+1})^{-1} \leq \dots \leq a^{t-n}u_m(r_{n+t-n})^{-1} = a^{t-n}u_m(r_t)^{-1}.$$

With the latter we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n \leq t+1}} 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1} &\leq \sum_{n \leq t+1} 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_n)^{-1} \\ &= 2A^2 a_m \left(\sum_{n=0}^t v_m(r_n)^{-1} + v_m(r_{t+1})^{-1} \right) \\ &\leq 2A^2 a_m \left(\sum_{n=0}^t a^{t-n} v_m(r_t)^{-1} + A^2 u_m(r_t)^{-1} \right) \\ &= 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_t)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\sigma=0}^t a^\sigma + A^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2A^2 a_m v_m(r_t)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} a^\sigma + A^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2A^2 (D + A^2) a_m v_m(z)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $D \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a^n$, that v_m is radial and decreasing for $r \nearrow 1$ and $|z| \geq r_t$, whence $v_m(r_t)^{-1} \leq v_m(z)^{-1}$. Thus we have

$$G_m(z) \leq 2A^2 (D + A^2) a_m u_m(z)^{-1}.$$

(ii) We consider $H_m(z)$. Then all the occuring n satisfy $n > t + 1$ and $n \in N_m$. By the estimates in 2. we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_m(z) &\stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n > t+1}} |g_n(z)| = \delta_{k(t+2),m} |g_{t+2}| + \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n > t+2}} |g_n(z)| \\ &\leq 4a_m v_m(z)^{-1} A^2 + \sum_{\substack{n \in N_m \\ n > t+2}} 4 \cdot 2^{-n} D a_m v_m(z)^{-1} \\ &\leq (4A^2 + 4D \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}) a_m v_m(z)^{-1} \\ &= 4(A^2 + 2D) a_m v_m(z)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ denotes the Kronecker symbol.

Combining the estimates in (i) and (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h_m(z)| &= G_m(z) + H_m(z) \\ &\leq (2A^2 (D + A^2) + 4(A^2 + 2D)) a_m v_m(z)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< C2^{-(m+2)}C^{-1}b_mv_m(z)^{-1} \\
&= 2^{-(m+2)}b_mv_m(z)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

that is $v(z)|h_m(z)| \leq 2^{-(m+2)}b_m$ and thus

$$h_m \in 2^{-(m+2)}b_mU_m.$$

as desired. □

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Pepe Bonet for answering many questions, all his useful hints and several clarifying discussions on the proof of [3, Theorem 5].

References

- [1] K. D. Bierstedt, *A survey of some results and open problems in weighted inductive limits and projective description for spaces of holomorphic functions*, Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Lige **70** (2001) no. 4–6, 167–182.
- [2] K. D. Bierstedt, R. Meise, and W. H. Summers, *A projective description of weighted inductive limits*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **272** (1982), no. 1, 107–160.
- [3] J. Bonet, M. Engliš, and J. Taskinen, *Weighted L^∞ -estimates for Bergman projections*, Studia Math. **171** (2005), no. 1, 67–92.

Author’s adress: Sven-Ake Wegner, Mathematical Institute, University of Paderborn, D-33095 Paderborn, Germany. E-mail address: wegner@math.upb.de